# Appendix G. Development of a Target Compound List (TCL)

#### **G-1.** Introduction

The two most common purposes for performing air monitoring at HTRW sites are to (1) provide information on HAPs concentrations for use in a site's overall hazard assessment and (2) assess the status of compliance with applicable Federal, state and local air pollution regulations. Both of these purposes can have a significant influence on the selection of sampling methods and the design of sampling programs.

As discussed earlier, CERCLA requires that a hazard assessment be performed at both Superfund and Corps HTRW sites, including an evaluation of the inhalation route of exposure. The usual approach for performing a hazard assessment is to make use of "risk assessment" techniques. The EPA's Superfund Public Health Evaluation Manual defines an acceptable level of cancer risk as being in the range of  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-4}$ . The EPA defines this as the acceptable level of risk for an adult exposed to maximum predicted ambient air concentration for a 70-year period, 24 hours per day. A  $10^{-7}$  risk is a 1-in-10-million chance of death from cancer, whereas a  $10^{-4}$  risk is a 1-in-10 thousand chance of death from cancer. Consequently, an FFMS at an HTRW site must be capable of measuring fenceline contaminant concentrations corresponding to risks within the  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-4}$  range.

In addition to the need for performing risk assessments, air sampling may also be required to determine the status of the HTRW site and its compliance with applicable regulations, defined in CERCLA as "applicable or relevant and appropriate" requirements (ARARs). An ARAR is a promulgated regulatory requirement at either the state or Federal levels of government (e.g., a National Ambient Air Quality Standard or a state air emission standard). ARARs apply to emissions from the HTRW site itself as well as to emissions from any remedial operations at the site.

In addressing the regulatory needs of the state agencies, EPA found a need to assist remediation programs in the identification of most probable analytes found at Superfund and HTRW sites. The objective of EPA developing a target compound list (TCL) was to help prioritize analytes of concern so applicable sampling and analytical methods could be identified and used in quantitating emissions to  $10^{-6}$  risk levels.

Since no generally accepted list of HAPs existed, EPA developed a master list based upon the Hazardous Substances Priority Lists (HSPLs) and augmented with 60 additional HAPs selected from other authoritative lists (e.g., the Superfund Public Health Evaluation manual, the California Air Resources Board list of carcinogens, and lists published by the USEPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards).

After the master list was compiled, a simple scheme to rank these analytes in order of importance as HAPs at Superfund and HTRW sites was developed. The most important factors considered in developing this scheme were:

• Health effects of the analyte.

- EPA, Corps, and state needs for regulating the analyte.
- Regulatory importance of the analyte.
- Potential for human exposure during site activities.
- Availability of sampling/analytical methods and reference standards for quantitating the analyte.

#### G-2. Health Effects

In considering health effects, a toxic compound list developed by EPA's Pollutant Assessment Branch (PAB) was used. This list is maintained within EPA's Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS). PAB also maintains a separate list of compound involving "cancer potency slopes" which in most cases are based upon the ingestion route of exposure. Because in many cases these cancer potency slopes have been, and will continue to be, converted to inhalation factors for use in HAPs risk assessments, these data were included in the assessment and ranking of health effects.

For noncarcinogens, lists maintained by EPA's noncarcinogen workgroup were used. These are compounds for which EPA has determined a need for the development of "reference dose" (RfD) values. RfD's are used by EPA as threshold values in evaluating noncarcinogenic health effect. For other compounds on the list which were not described by any of the above date, various health effects indicators such as threshold limit values, and as a last resort, reportable quantity date from SARA Title III, were relied upon.

#### G-3. EPA, Corps, and State Needs

In assessing EPA, Corps, and state needs for sampling guidance and analytical methods for specific HAPs, a questionnaire was developed and sent to interested parties to determine important HAPs of concern. The respondents provided lists of important HAPs, and the frequency with which specific compounds were of interest.

The response from the questionnaire was supplemented by a data base developed by the National Air Toxics Information Clearinghouse as an indicator of State regulatory activity for specific HAPs. For the various States regulating on the basis of acceptable ambient levels (AALs), the frequency of occurrence of regulations for specific chemicals was the third most important ranking criterion.

#### G-4. Regulatory Lists

Frequency of occurrence on lists of hazardous materials was also considered to be a useful ranking indicator. The California Air Resources Board (ARB) publishes a "Lists of Lists" which shows the frequency with which specific chemicals are listed in 12 authoritative lists of HAPs. The New York Air Guide II also categorizes specific air toxics compounds as high, medium, or low toxicity. SARA Title III, Section 313, also lists hazardous pollutants. Frequency of occurrence in each of these lists was used as an indicator of the relative importance of these compounds.

## G-5. Potential for Human Exposure

Indicators for the potential for human exposure were incorporated by considering both the frequency of occurrence at Superfund and HTRW sites and the volatility of each of the listed compounds. Frequency of occurrence at Superfund sites was obtained directly from the August 1988 list entitled "Frequency Distribution of Substances Present at Final and Proposed NPL Sites." A volatility ranking number between 0.5 and 3 for each compound was derived from boiling point and/or vapor pressure data, as available. These indicators are generally considered to represent the potential for human exposure through the air pathway at Superfund sites.

# G-6. Availability of Analytical Methods and Reference Standards

To complete the ranking process, each of the candidate chemicals on the expanded master list was entered into a Lotus 1-2-3 spreadsheet and arrayed with corresponding numerical data describing each of the 10 ranking criteria. A ranking index algorithm (RIA) was devised which would position the maximum value of each of the ranking criteria terms in its relative weighted position. The algorithm for ranking of the target compounds is:

$$RIA = 10G + 11.3B + 120M + 7.5D + 23.3F + 10K + 20L + 40E + 35C + 15J$$

Explanation of the development and derivation of term values can be found in Chapter 3.

As illustrated in Chapter 3, the RIA was designated as the sum of the descriptors terms. The complete target compound list developed for the Corps and EPA nationwide for Superfund sites utilizing the above algorithm consist of approximately 257 target compounds. Of the 257 compounds, 43 percent are volatiles thus having vapor pressure greater than 0.1 mm Hg. Approximately 32.4 percent of the target compound list are classified as semi-volatiles with vapor pressure ranging from 10<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>-7</sup> mmHg. Finally, metals comprise approximately 28 percent of the target compound list. The full target compound list of 257 compounds, marked in importance as determined by the RIA, is provided below in Table G-1.

EM 200-1-5 1 Oct 97

Table (	Table G-1. EPA's Superfund Target Compound List (TCL	arget C	Dunodwo	List (TCI	_								
						DEMONSTR	ATED SAMPI	ING METHO	) ASO IDO	e CNA C		APPROXIMATE	
					T	APPRO4K	APPROACHABLE METHOD DETECTION LIMITS (7)	400 DETEC	LIMIT NOL	e,	L X	SPECIFIC	
Ranking	Air Toxics	¥ φ Ç	CLASSI- FICATION	STDs	AVALABLE SAMPI ING/ANALYTICAL	340	Canister	ļ	Filter	P.F.	SLOPE (JG(m <sup>3</sup> ):	CONCENTRATION AT 10	REFERENCE AMBIENT LEVELS (PALS)
	(Abbreviation explanation	on at en	on at end of table)		METHODS (6)	Em)on	qaa	90	i grijan	fundin 3		dod/m/m	qdd/(wj/gn)
-	*Vinyl Chloride	+	>	Z +	CGC/MS		0.42	(a)			4.2x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.023/0.008998	0.0460/0.0180
2	*Trichloroethylene	+	>	Z +	T/C-GC/MS		0.47	0.08			1.3×10.6	.769/0.1431	1.5380/0.2862
က	*Cadmium	+	Σ	N,N	F-ICAP, F-XR				0		1.8x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.00055/0.0001196	0.0012/0.0003
4	*Chloroform	+	>	Z +	T/C-GC/MS		0.37	0.23			2.3x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.043/0.008806	0.0860/0.0176
5	*Benzene	+	>	Z.	T/C-GC/MS		0.53	2.6			8.3x10-6	.12/0.03756	0.2400/0.0751
ဖ	*Carbon Tetrachloride	+	^	+,N,F7	T/C-GC/MS		0.41	0.17			1.5x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.067/0.010648	0.1340/0.0213
7	*Arsenic	+	Σ	N,NT	F-ICAP, F-XR				0		4.3x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.00023/0.0000751	
80	*Tetrachloroethylene	+	^	Ν'+	T/C-GC/MS		0.57	2.6			9.5x10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.05/0.15479	2.1000/0.3096
0	*Chromium	+	Σ	N,NT	F-ICAP				0.002		1.2×10 <sup>-2</sup>	.0000083/0.000039	0.0002/0.0001
9	Mercury	+	Σ	N,N	F-ICAP, CV-AA, ACM				900.0				0.0118/0.0014
Ξ	*Beryllium	+	Σ	N,N	F-ICAP, F-XR				0		2.4x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.0004/0.00108	0.0020/0.0054
12	Selenium	+	Σ	TN,N	F-ICAP, F-XR		(q)	(a)	0.001				0.5400/0.1672
13	*Nickel (Subsulfide)	+	Σ	z	F-ICAP, F-XR				0.002		4.8x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0021	0.0060/0.0025
7	*Heptachlor/heptachlorepoxid e	+	<u>α</u>	N,N	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS					0.01	1.3x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.00077/0.0000504	0.002/0.0001
15	1,1-Dichloroethene	+	۸	Ν'+	T/C-GC/MS		0.1	(a)			5.0x10 <sup>-5</sup>	02/0.005044	0.0400/0.0101
18	*Acrylonitrile	+	>	+,F7	T/C-GC/MS						6.8x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.015/0,006912	0.0300/0.0138
11	*Benzo(a)pyrene		s v	N,NT	PUFIXAD <sup>-2</sup> -HPLC, PUFIXAD <sup>-2</sup> -GC/MS, S(XAD <sup>-2</sup> )-HPLC/UV					0	1.7x10³	.00058/0.0000562	1.14/0.1105
. 49	*1,2-Dichloroethane	+	>	Z. +	T/C-GC/MS		0.39	3.8			2.6x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.038/0.0093877	0.0760/0.0188
19	Chlorobenzene	+	>	Z +	T/C-GC/MS		0.48	1.7					10.0/2.1722
20	Lead	+	Σ	N,N	F.ICAP, F.XR				0.0187				0.14/0.0165
21	*Formaldehyde	+	>	z	SEP-IC, ACM	0.012	(q)	(c)			6.1x10°6	.164/0.13353	0.328
22	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	+	>	Z. +	T/C-GC/MS		0.42	1.7					0.0040/0.0007
23	*1,1,2-Trichloroethane	+	>	G,N,F7	T/C-GC/MS		0.38	2.1			1.6x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.062/0.01136	0.1240/0.0227
24	*Chlordane	+	۵	N,N	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS		(a)	(a)		0.01	3.7x104	.0027/0.00016	0.0054/0.0003
52	*1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	+	>	G,+,F7	T/C-GC/MS		0.66	6.5			5.8x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.017/0.002478	0.0340/0.0005
<b>3</b> 8	Barium		Σ	TN,N	F-ICAP, F-XR				0				0.0200/0.0036
27	Ethylbenzene	+	>	Z +	T/C-GC/MS		0.44	1.6					0.0152/0.0035
58	*PCBs	+	o.	N,NT	PUFIXAD-2-GC/MS, PUFIXAD-2-HRGC/HRMS		<b>(</b> 9)	(a)		1.0x10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.2x10³	0.00083	0.001
29	*Asbestos	+	>	z	F-MICR.						2.4x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.000004	
8	*Toxaphene	+	۵.	z	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS		(q)	(a)		0.01	3.2×104	.0031/0.0001832	1.4470/0.0855
34	*Methylene chloride	+	>	Z +	T/C-GC/MS		0.73	æ			4.1x10°6	2.44/0.70235	4.8800/1.4047
32	Manganese	+	Σ	N,N	F-ICAP, F-XR				0				16.6000/7.3878
83	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	+	>	N,+,F7	T/C-GC/MS		(9)	9					18.0000/2.4253
34	*Styrene	+	>	Z +	T/C-GC/MS		0.45	0.13			2.9x10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.48/0.81703	0.0007/0.0002
35	1,1-Dichloroethane	+	>	Z +	T/C-GC/MS		0.51	5.7					1000.0000/247.04

						DEMONSTR	DEMOINSTRATED SAMPLING METHODOLOGY (S) AND APPROACHABLE METHOD DETECTION LIMITS (?)	ING METHO	DOLOGY (	5) AND S(7)	TNO.	APPROXIMATE AR RISK	
Ranking (1)	Air Taxics (2)	CAA of 1990	CLASSI- FICATION (3)	STD's Avail.	AVAILABLE SAMPLING/ANALYTICAL	XAG-deS	Canister (d)	Tenax	(e)	#UF?XA G <sup>72</sup> XA	Si disk Si opti Si opti	SPECIFIC CONCENTRATION AT 10*	REFERENCE AMBIENT LEVELS (RALS)
	(Abbreviation explanation	on at en	ion at end of table)		METHODS (9)	_m/dn	900	δυ	em/sin	£w/dn		ug/m³/opb	qdd/(_uybn)
37	Napthalene	+ .	>S	N,G,NT	PUFIXAD-2-HPLC, PUFIXAD-2-GCMSSIXAD-3-HPLC/UV		<b>(</b> 9)	0		0			14.2570/2.7199
æ	*Ethylene oxide	+	>	z	CT-GC/ECD, CT-GC/FID		(q)	(a)			1.0x10-4	0.01/0.00557	0.02
	Toluene	+	>	Z. +	T/C-GC/MS		0.4	2					10.2490/2.7199
	Xylenes (o, m and p)	+	^	+,N,F7	T/C-GC/MS		0.31	0.5					11.8100/2.72
41	1,2-Dichtoropropane	+	^	N. +	T/C-GC/MS		0.34	4					
42	1,2-Dichlorobenzene		^	G,+,F7	T/C-GC/MS		0.32	12.4					81.8330/13.6101
	1,2-Dibromoethane		^	+	T/C-GC/MS			3.3					2.462/0.3204
44	*1,3-Butadiene	+	۸	+	T/C-GC/MS		99.0	(a)			4.6x10-7	2.17/0.98089	4.2000/1.8985
45	Thallium		M	TN,N	F-ICAP, F-GFAA, F-XR				0.0209				0.5700/0.0854
	Zinc ,		W	TN,N	F-ICAP, F-AA, F-XR				0.002				18.00/6.7314
47	Copper		Σ	Ŋ	F-ICAP, F-AA, F-XR				0				5.00/2.1930
48	*Propylene oxide	+	>	z	CT-GC/FID, CT-GC/MS		<u>(0</u>	(e)			1.2x10 <sup>-4</sup>	.0083/0.00349	1.66
49	Acetone		۸	+,N,F7	T/C-GC/MS, SEP-IC	0.0237	3.3	(c)					160.0/67.3554
20	Chloroethane		>	G,+,N,F7	C-GC/ECD, T/C-GC/MS, CT-GC/MS		0.37	(c)					718.0590/272.1
51	Phenol	+	۶۸	z	IMP-HPLC		(0)	(0)					20.015
25	3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	+	S۸	z	F/SG-HPLC/UV		Ð	(e)					0.197
23	*2,3,7,8-tetrachiorodibenzo-p-dioxin	+	» «	z	PUF/XAD-2-HRGC/HRMS		<u> </u>	æ		1xt0°	3.3x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.026/0.0019745	90:0
54	2-Butanone	+	>	z +	T/C-GC/MS			(9)					1
	*Nitrobenzene	+	sv	ź	T-GC/MS, PUF/XAD-2-GC/MS		(3)	(၁)			1.2x10-7	8.33/1.654362	4.0000/0.7944
99	*Dieldrin/Aldrin		Δ.	LN.N.	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS		(9)	(a)		0.01	4.6x10 <sup>-3</sup>	.00021/0.0000141	0.0004
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	+	۸s	z	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS		(9)	(a)		0			0.1200/0.0108
28	Acrolein	+	>	z	T/C-GC/MS, SEP-IC	0.0229	(9)	(a)					0.3000/0.1308
89	*Hexachlorobenzene	+	٥٨	LN,N	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS		(q)	(a)		0	4.9x10-4	.002/0.0001717	0.0040/0.0003
	Antimony	+	Σ	N.N.	F-ICAP, F-AA, F-XR				0.002				1.3390/0.2689
5	*Benzyl chloride	+	>	N + F7	T/C-GC/MS		(3)	(0)			1.2x10°5	.083/0.016031	1.8800/0.3631
29	*Pentachlorophenol	+	s v	z	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS		(9)	(a)		0.173	3.9x10-7	2.56/0.234999	5.0000/0.4590
အ	Carbon Disulfide	+	>	z	C-GC/MS, GB-GC/MS, ACM		(0)	(a)					0.27/0.0867
49	*4,4-DDE,DDT,DDD	+	۵	FN.	PUFIXAD- <sup>2</sup> -GC/ECD, PUFIXAD- <sup>2</sup> -GC/MS		(q)	(a)		0.005	9.7x10 <sup>-5</sup>	.0103/0.00079	2.0600/0.1421
65	Hydrogen fluoride	+	>	o Z	DENUDER-IC, S(SILICA GEL)-HPLC/UV ACM		9	(a)				T	0.679
	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	+	>	N,+,F7	SEP-IC, T/C-GC/MS		9	9					53.9100/13.16
29	Cobalt	+	Σ	N,N	F-ICAP, F-XR		9		0.004				0.57/0.2365
	Nickel carbony		>		F/CT-GFAA			(e)					
	Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	+	>	N,	T/C-GC/MS		0.53	(2)					
20	Phosgene	+	>		MOV CIGH ON			,					

			Commence of the Commence of th	120212000000000		Company of the Compan							
						DEMONSTR	DEMONSTRATED SAMPLING METHODOLOGY (5) AND APPROACHABLE METHOD DETECTION LIMITS (7)	ING METHO	DOLOGY (5,			APPROXIMATE AIR RISK SDECIED	
Ranking (1)	Air Toxics (2)	CAA 1980	CLASSI- FICATION (3)	STD's Avail: (4)	AVAILABLE SAMPLING/ANALYTICAL	Sep-PAK	Canister (d)	Tenax	F. (e)	25.€ #25.€	16.00 19.00 10.00	CONCENTRATION AT 10°	REFERENCE AMBIENT LEVELS (RALS)
	(Abbreviation explanati	on at er	on at end of table)		METHODS (6)	<sup>2</sup> m/gn	qda	ā		Em/6n		dqo/²m/gu	(g) (g)
72	Nickel		Σ	N,NT	F-ICAP, F-AA, F-XR								
73	Hydrazine	+	۸		S(FIRBK)-HPLC/UV								
74	Fluorene		۸s	N,NT	PUFIXAD-2-HPLC, PUFIXAD-2-GC/MS, S(XAD-3)-HPLC/UV		Đ	Œ.		0			
75	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	+	۸	9	T/C-GC/MS		0.12/0.79	0.5		-			0.3610/0.0600
76	1,4-Dioxane	+	۸	+	T-GC/MS		6'0	3.9					0.4800/0.1332
	Nickel sulfide		M	z	IMP-COL								
8/	Ammonia		۸	9'N	DENUDER-IC, ACM		(q)	(a)					4.736
79	*Epichlorohydrin	+	۸	Ν	T-GC/MS		(0)	(3)			2.7x10°6	370/0.097768	
	*Acetaldehyde	+	۸	N	IMP-HPLC/UV, SEP-IC	0.02					2.2x10 <sup>6</sup>	0.45/0.249773	
81	Aniline	+	۸۶	N	IMP-COL., PUF-GC/MS		(9)	9					
82	*Hexachlorobutadiene	+	۸	9	CGC/MS		(2)	(0)			2.2x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.045	2.9
83	Methyl isocyanate	+	۸		S(XAD-7)-HPLC/UV		<b>(</b>	(a)					
84	Toluene diisocyanate	+	sν	Z	GB-GC/FID		(q)	(a)					
92	Silver		M	N,NT	F-ICAP, F-AA, F-XR				0				0.5690/0.1626
88	Bromomethane	+	>	N,	T/C-GC/MS, CT-GC/MS		0.64	7.8	:				60.0000/15.4502
87	Ethylenimine		>		IMP-HPLC		(9)	(a)					
88	Trans 1,3-Dichloropropene	+	^	Ŋ	T/C-GC/MS		0.4	(9)					
68	Methoxychior	+	۵	z	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS		Q	(a)		0.01			56.9720/4.0300
66	Dichlorodifluoromethane		۸	+	C-GC/MS, CT-GC/MS		(2)	9					0.0300/0.0061
93	Parathion	+	۵	z	PUF-GC/MS, PUF-GC/ECD.		æ	ê		0			0.57/0.0478
82	Hydrogen suffide		۸	9	IMP-COL., GB-GC/FPD, ACM		, (c)	(a)					3.79
	*Chloromethane	+	۸	G,+,F7	C-GC/MS, CT-GC/MS		0.39	(a)			1.8x10°6	0.555/0.26876	11.1000/5.3752
94	*n-Nitrosodimethylamine	•	^	Z	ADS-GC/MS, S(TN)-GC/HECD		(2)	(2)			5.4x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.00018/0.0000594	
88	Benzo(b)fluoranthene		sv	N,NT	PUFIXAD*2-HPLC, PUFIXAD*3-GCMS; PUF-GCIMS, PUF-GC/FID, S(XAD*3)-HPLC/UV		(p)	(a)		0			
96	Radon	+	۸	N	CT-RAD.								
46	Cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene		٨	N'9	T/C-GC/MS		0.06/0.25	(0)					
88	Fluorides/fluorine/HF		۸	N,G	DENUDER-IC, S(SILICA GEL)-IC, ACM								6.807
66	Sulfur Dioxide		۸	9	IMP-COL., GB-GC/FPD, ACM		(0)	(a)					28.500/2.7568
100	Methanol	+	۸	9	T/C-GC/MS, TC-GC/FID		20	(a)					
101	Bromodichloromethane		۸	G,+,F7	T/C-GC/MS		0.48	(2)					
102	Hydrogen Arsenide		>	z	CT-GFAA								
55	Tribromomethane	+	>	N,+,F7	T/C-GC/MS		0.48	(e)					28.5
	Acetonitrile	+	>	+	T-GC/MS		(0)	9					100.0000/59.5615
92	1,3-Dichlorobenzene		^	ပ	T/C-GC/MS		0.07/0.44	(a)					

						DEMONSTRATED SAMPLING METHODOLOGY (5) AND APPROACHABLE METHOD DETECTION LIMITS (7)	ATED SAMPI HABLE MET	ING METHO	DOLOGY (			APPROXIMATE AIR RISK	
Ranking (1)	Air Toxics	CAA Toge	CLASSI. FICATION	STD's Avail	AVAILABLE SAMPLINGANATYTICAL	Sen. D&K	Canister	Tenax	Filter (a)	∢.	SE OFF	SPECIAL CONCENTRATION AT 10*	REFERENCE AMBIENT LEVELS (RALS)
	(Abbreviation explan	ion at en	ation at end of table)		METHODS (8)	<sub>ε</sub> w/bn	qaq	na	. na/m3	£m/on		ua/m³lonb	(ε) qαd/( <sub>ε</sub> μ/βη)
107	Benzo(a)anthracene		۸۶	IN,N	PUFIXAD-2-HPLC, PUFIXAD-2-GC/MS, SIXAD-4-HPLC/UV		(p)	(a)		0			
108	Pyridine		>	+	T-GC/MS,S(XAD-2)-GC/MS		(0)	(c)					4.000/1.2364
109	BHC		a.	TN,N	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS					0.01			
110	Endosulfon		a.	z	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS		(g)	(a)					0.5690/0.0342
111	Mechloroethamine		>										
112	*3-chloro-1-propene	+	>	z	T/C-GC/MS,CT-GC/MS		(9)	(c)			5.5x10 <sup>8</sup>	18.18	363.6000/116.1639
113	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		<u>ي</u>	N,N	PUF/XAD <sup>2</sup> -HPLC, PUF-GC/MS, PUF-GC/FID, S(XAD <sup>-3</sup> )-HPLC/UV		,			0			
114	Boron		Σ	TN,N	F-ICAP, F-XŘ				0.004				
115	Benzo(k)fluoranthene		۸s	TN,N	PUFIXAD-2-GC/MS, PUF-GC/FID, S(XAD-3)-HPLC/UV					0			
116	Dibromochloromethane		>	G,+,N,F7	T/C-GC/MS		0.27	(0)					
117	Endrin aldehyde/endrin		a	TNN	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS					0.0			0.57
118	Methyl Methacrylate	+	>	Z	T-GC/MS, C-GC/MD								
119	Anthracene		۸s	TN,N	PUF/XAD <sup>-2</sup> -HPLC, PUF-GC/MS, PUF-GC/FID, S(XAD <sup>-3</sup> )-HPLC/UV					0			•
120	Mirex		a.	TN,N	T-GC/MS, PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS					0.01			
12	Dibromochloropropane		>	z	C/T-GC/MS								
122	Tetrahydrofuran		>	z	T-GC/MS, PUF-GC/MS, PUF-HRGC/HRMS, C-GC/MD	-		1.2					160.478
123	Bromoethane		>	z	T/C-GC/MS		Q	(3)					
124	2-chloro-1,3-Butadiene	+	>	တ	T/C-GC/MS		0.38						0.98/0.3132
125	Vinyl Acetate	+	>	z	C-GC/MS		©	(0)					38.3090/10.88
126	Suffuric Acid		sv	z	DENUDER-IC, B-HPLC, ACM								2.728
127	4-Chloroaniline		λS	z	PUF-GC/MS								
128	Di(Chloromethyl)ether		>										
129	Thorium		Σ	z	F-ICAP, F-XR				0.008				
윤	*Trans-1,4-Dichlorobutene		>		T-GC/MS		9	9			2.6x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.00038	
131	Bromochloromethane		>	Ö	T/C-GC/MS		19.0	2.1					30.165
132	*Benzidine	+	۶۸	Z	F-HPLC/UV, PUF-GC/MS						6.7x10 <sup>-2</sup>	.000015/0.000002	
53	Methacrylonitrile		>	z	T-GC/MS,C-GC/MD								
134	Propylene		>	Ø	T-GC/FID,T/C-GC/MS		0.29						
£	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2, 2-trifluoroethane		^	+	T/C-GC/MS								
136	Acenaphthylene		sγ	Þ	PUF/XAD-2-GC/MS					0.003			
121			:	2	טופוי לייניאטייים מסווסס דויים		3	3	_	,	,		

						DEMONSTR	DEMONSTRATED SAMPLING METHODOLOGY (5) AND APPROACHABLE METHOD DETECTION LIMITS (7)	ING METHO	DOLOGY (			APPROXIMATE AIR RISK	
Ranking	Air Toxics	₹-6	CLASSI: FICATION	STD's Avail.	AVALABLE SAMPI MOJANAI VITCAI	And and	Canister	3	Filter	4	Stope (Gam)	SPECIFIC CONCENTRATION AT 10°	REFERENCE AMBIENT FVFI S /RAI s)
	(Abbreviation explanation at end of table)	ition at et	nd of table)	ē .	SCOHLEM (6)	. Em/m	qua	92	na <sub>m</sub> 3	Em)on		dag/ <sup>2</sup> /mon	(6) cdd/(_w/6n)
139	Hydrogen Cyanide	+	>	z	IMPCOL., IMP-IC, ACM								8
	Aldicarb		· a	z	PUF-HPLC, PUF-GC/MS, PUF-GC/ECD, SEP-IC, SEP-HPLC					0.003			4
141	Furfurai		>	z	T-GC/MS,PUF-GC/MS								
142	Phenanthrene		s s	z	PUFIXAD-2-GCIMS, PUFIXAD-2-HPLC, PUF-GC/ECD, S(XAD-3)-HPLC/UV					0			
143	1,1-Dimethylhydrazine		>										
144	Zinc Oxide		Σ	z	F-ICAP, F-XR				0.001				
145	Polybrominated biphenyls		۵	z	PUF/XAD-2-GC/MS, PUF-GC/ECD					1x10°6			
146	Pyrene		> %	z	PUFIXAD-2-HPLC, PUFIXAD-2-GC/MS, PUF-GC/ECD, S(XAD-3)-HPLC/UV					0			:
147	Trichlorofluoromethane		^	+	T/C-GC/MS,CT-GC/MS		(c)	(0)					
148	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	+	^	z	T-GC/MS		(2)	4.7					
149	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	+	λS		F/IMP-HPLC/UV								
150	Uranium		æ	z	F-ICAP								
151	*2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	+	>s	z .	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS, PUF-GC/EC					0,01	5.7x10 <sup>-8</sup>	.175/0.02167	
152	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	+	sv	z	T-GC/MS,PUF-GC/MS								
	2,4-Dichlorophenal		λS	z	T-GC/FID,T-GC/MS								
154	Isopropylbenzene	+	>	O	T/C-GC/MS								
155	Methylene bis(phenyl isocyanate)		۸s	z	,			ę			-		
156	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		λS	z	PUFIXAD-2-HPLC, PUFIXAD-2-GC/MS, PUF-GC/ECD, S(XAD-3)-HPLC/UV					0			
157	Tin		Σ	z	F-ICAP, F-XR				0.03				
158	Molybdenum		Σ	z	F-ICAP, F-XR				0				
159	Dibenzofuran	+	Sν	z	PUFIXAD-2-GC/MS, PUF/XAD-2-HPLC, PUF-GC/ECD					1x10°6			
160	Cresols	+	s۷	z	IMP-HPLC								24.061
161	Chrysene		Sν	z	PUFIXAD-2-HPLC, PUFIXAD-2-GC/MS, PUF-GC/ECD, S(XAD-3)-HPLC/UV					0			
162	2-Methoxyethanol		^	z	C-GC/MD								
163	Heptane		٨	ŋ	T/C-GC/MS		0.17/0.76						
	Acetic Anhydride		۸		IMP-COL.								
165	Malathion		α.	z	PUF-GC/MS, PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/NPD, PUF-GC/PPD					0.01			
166	*Hexachloroethane	+	sv	z	T-GC/FID, PUF-GC/MS, S(XAD- <sup>2</sup> )-GC/MS						4.0x10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.25/0.0258	
167	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	+	۸s	z	PUFIXAD-2-GC/ECD, PUF/XAD-2-GC/MS				-	0.07			
					PUF-HPLC								

						DEMONSTR	DEMONSTRATED SAMPLING METHODOLOGY (5) AND APPROACHABLE METHOD DETECTION LIMITS (7)	ING METHO HOD DETEC	DOLOGY (		APPROXIMATE AIR RISK	
Ramking (1)	Air Toxics (2)	CAA 1990	CLASSI- FICATION (3)	StD Avail 35	AVAILABLE SAMPLING/ANALYTICAL	Sep-PAK	Canister (d)	Tenax	Filter (e)	<	SLOPE CONCENTRATIC (LIGHTS). AT 10° (8)	N REFERENCE AMBIENT LEVELS (RALS)
	(Abbreviation expla	tion at en	nation at end of table)		METHODS (8)	ug/m³	dde	6u		ug/m³	qdd/ <sub>E</sub> ul/6n	(ug/m²)/ppt (9)
169	Dialkyl nitrosoamines		>	z	S(TN)-GC/NPD,S(TN)-GC/HECD							
170	Diethylphthalate		sv	z	PUF-GC/MS,S(XAD-2)-GC/MS							
171	Maleic anhydride	+.	sν		S(XAD <sup>-2</sup> )-HPLC/UV							
	2-Chlorophenol		^	z	S(SILICA GEL)-HPLC/UV							
173	2-Chloropropane		>	z	T/C-GC/MS			3.4				
	Strontium		Σ	z	F-ICAP, F-XR				0.002		-	
	Ethylene diamine		^		S(TN)-GC/NPD							
176	Chlorodibenzodioxins		sν	z	PUF-HRGC/HRMS					1x10 <sup>-8</sup>		
177	B-Napthylamine		s۸	z	IMP-HPLC, PUF-GC/MS							
178	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate		sν	Z	PUF-GC/MS,S(XAD-2)-GC/MS							
179	2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether		^	z	T-GC/MS							
180	2-Ethoxyethanol		^		CT-GC/FID							
	n-Nitrosodiphenylamine		٥٨	z	S(TN)-GC/NPD							1
	Octane		۸	Ø	T/C-GC/MS		0.05/0.28					
183	Chlorodifluoromethane		۸	Ø	C-GC/MS,CT-GC/MS							
	Isophorone	+	sv	z	T-GC/MS, PUF-GC/MS,S(XAD <sup>-2</sup> )-GC/MS							
185	2,4-D Salts & esters	+	sv	z	F-HPLC/UV							
186	*Di(n-octyl)phthalate		sv	z	PUF-GC/MS,S(XAD <sup>-2</sup> )-GC/MS						7.69/0.48148	
	Nitrophenol	+	sv	z	PUF-GC/MS,S(XAD-2)-GC/MS							
188	Acenaphthene		۸s	z	PUFIXAD-2-HPLC, PUFIXAD-2-GC/MS, PUF-GC/FID					0	-	
189	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether		۸s	z	S(XAD <sup>-2</sup> )-GC/MS,PUF-GC/MS							
	Bromobenzene		>	z	T-GC/MS			14.1				
191	Benzoic acid		λS	z	S(XAD-2)-GC/MS							
192	Butylbenzylphthalate		۸s	z	S(XAD-2)-GC/MS, PUF-GC/MS							
	Fluoranthene		>S	z	PUFIXAD- <sup>2</sup> -HPLC, PUFIXAD- <sup>2</sup> -GCMS, PUF-FID, S(XAD- <sup>3</sup> )-HPLC/UV					0		
194	Disulfoton		۵	z	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-HPLC, PUF-GC/NPD, PUF-GC/FPD, PUF-GC/MS					0.01		
195	Methyl styrene		>		T/C-GC/MS							
	2-Nitrophenol		> 0	z	PUF-GC/ECD, PUF-GC/MS, PUF-HPLC					0.01		
					S(XAD-4)-GC/MS							
Т	2,4-Dimethyl phenol		۸ ۸	z	S(XAD"3)-GC/MS,PUF-GC/MS							
i	Plutonium		Σ						-			
	Benzaldehyde		>	z	SEP-IC,B-HPLC/UV	0.0433		5.9				
П	Dicyclopentadiene		s۸	z	CT-GC/FID,S(XAD-4)-GC/MS							
둱	4-Chinrophenyl phenyl ether		>			_	,	_				

EM 200-1-5 1 Oct 97

						DEMONSTR APPROA(	ATED SAMP HABLE MET	DEMONSTRATED SAMPLING METHODOLOGY (5) AND APPROACHABLE METHOD DETECTION LIMITS (7)	DOLOGY (			APPROXIMA E AIR RISK SPECIEIC	
Ranking	Air Toxics (2)	A 200	CLASSI- FICATION (3)	STD's Avail (4)	AVALABLE SAMPLING/ANALYTICAL	Sep-PAK	Canister (d)	Тепах	Filter (e)	⋖.	Signal Si	CONCENTRATION AT 10°6 (8)	REFERENCE AMBIENT LEVELS (RALS)
	Abbr	tion at er	nd of table)		NETHODS (8)	ug/m³	gdd	Đ		<sup>8</sup> m/gn		ug/m³/ppb	(6) (6)
203	2,6-Dinitrotoluene		s v	z	F/IMP-HPLC/UV,S(XAD-2)-GC/MS								
204	Benzonitrile		sv	z	T-GC/MS,S(XAD-2)-GC/MS			1.3					
205	Ethylene glycol	+	s v	z	F/CT-GC/FID								
206	2,4-Dinitrophenol	+	۸s	Z	S(XAD-2)-GC/MS,PUF-GC/MS								
207	n-Nitroso-n-propylamine		s v	z	S(TN)-GC/HECD								
208	1,2-Dimethylhydrazine		>										
508	Radium		Σ	z	F-ICAP, Radiochemical Method								
210	Tritium		>		F-ICAP, F-XR						٠.		
211	2-Nitroaniline		sv	z	S(XAD-2)-GC/MS,PUF-GC/MS								
212	3-Nitroaniline		۸s	z	S(XAD-2)-GC/MS, PUF-GC/MS								
213	Coke oven emissions	+	s v										
214	n-Pentane		>	Ø	T/C-GC/MS		0.26/0.85						
215	2-Hexanone		>	z	SEP-IC,T-GC/MS,B-HPLC/UV						-		
216	4-Nitrodiphenyl	+ '	s v	z	IMP-HPLC/UV, S(XAD <sup>-2</sup> )-GC/MS, PUF-GC/MS								
212	p-Biphenylamine		۸۵	z	S(XAD <sup>-2</sup> )-GC/MS, PUF-GC/MS								
218	Carbaryl	+	Δ	z	F-HPLC/UV				-				
219	2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid	+	<b>a</b>	z	F-HPLC/UV								
220	Auramine		Ф		F-HPLC/UV								
221	*Coal Tars		sv		PUF-HPLC/UV					1x10°8	8.2x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0016	
222	Di-(n-butyl)phthalate		۸s	z	S(XAD-2)-GC/MS/PUF-GC/MS							-	
223	Creosote		>s		IMP-HPLC, PUF-HPLC, PUF-GCMS, PUF-GC/FID					0.001			
224	Dimethyfformamide	+	>	z	S(SILICA GEL)-GC/FID								
225	Atrazine		۵	z	F-HPLC/UV, PUF-HPLC/UV								
226	Acetophenone	+	sν	Z	T-GC/MS, SEP-IC, PUF/XAD*2-HPLC					0			
227	Di(2-Chloroethoxy)methane		S۷	Z	PUF-GC/MS,S(XAD-2)-GC/MS								
228	Ethylene glycol monobutyl ether		>										
229	Cyclopentadiene		>		S(CHROMO 104)-GC/FID								
230	Nitrates/nitrites		sν	z	DENUDER-IC								
231	4,6-dinitro-2-methylphenol		sv	z	S(XAD-2)-GC/MS/PUF-GC/MS								
232	Hexane	+	>	ပ	T/C-GC/MS		0.06/0.23						
233	Cyclohexanone		>	z	SEP-IC,T-GC/MS,B-HPLC/UV								
234	Cyclohexylamine		>	z	S(SILICA GEL)-GC/NPD								
235	Dimethylphthalate	+	٥٢	z	S(XAD-2)-GC/MS/PUF-GC/MS								
236	Acetone Cyanohydrin		٥٨	z	S(PORAPAK-QS)-GC/NPD						1		

Table (	Table G-1. (Continued)												
						DEMONSTR	DEMONSTRATED SAMPLING METHODOLOGY (5) AND APPROACHABLE METHOD DETECTION LIMITS (7)	JNG METHO +OD DETECT	DOLOGY (5)		********	APPROXIMATE AIR RISK	
Ranking (1)	J. Air Toxics (2)	C4A	CLASSI- FICATION	STD's Avail (4)	AVALABLE SAMPLING/ANALYTICAL	Sen.DAK	Canister	Tener	Filter Ser	<b>-4</b> C	Claim)	CONCENTRATION AT 10°	REFERENCE AMBIENT FEVELS (RALS)
	(Abbreviation explanation at end of table)	ion at er	nd of table)		METHODS (6)	Em/gn	gga	, e		Em/en		dos/ <sup>5</sup> m/ou	qdd/(,m/6n)
238	1-bromobutane		۸		T-GC/MS								
239	1-Bromo-4-phenoxybenzene		٨										
\$	4-chloro-3-methylphenol	+	s v	z	B-COL., S(XAD-2)-GC/MS								
241	2,4,5.TP		а.	z	IMP-GC/ECD								
242	Phthalic anhydride	+	» v	z	F.HPLC/UV								
243	Pentachlorobenzene		۵	z	FIS-GCIECD/PUFIXAD'2-GCIMS								
244	4,4-Methylene-bis- (2-chloraniline)	+	> :	z			, a						
245	Propylene glycol monomethyl ether		>	z									
246	4-Methyi phenal		sν	z	S(XAD-2)-GC/MS, PUF-GC/MS								
247	2-Methyl phenol		sv	N	S(XAD-3)-GC/MS, PUF-GC/MS								
248	Benzyl alcohol		٥٨	Z	S(XAD-3)-GC/MS,PUF-GC/MS								
249	2-Methylnapthalene		s v	z	T-GC/MS, S(XAD <sup>-2</sup> )-GC/MS, PUF-GC/MS					0			
52	*Nitrosomorpholine	+	۸	Z	S(TN)-GC/HECD					, ``	2.5x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.040/0.008423	
22	2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene		sν	Z	F-GC/NPD,S(XAD <sup>-2</sup> )-GC/ECD	-							
252	2,4,6-Trinitrophenylmethyinitamine		۸s	Z									
253	cyclonite		sγ		F-HPLC/UV		:						
254	Nitrosobenzene		δV						,				
255	Ethylene cyanohydrin		λs										
256	Propylene glycal		Sν	N									
257	1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene		sv	z	S(XAD-3)-GC/MS								
(a) Not A	a) Not Amenable to Tenax Analysis												
(b) Not A	(b) Not Amenable to Canister Analysis	İ											
(S)	(c) No Detection Limits Available, but Feasible	sible											
1 Refere	1 Reference to 40CFR 60.130	ĺ											
2 Based	2 Based on 10 L Samples												

## Abbreviations for Table G-1, EPA's Superfund Target Compound List

- (1) As determined by EPA's RIA, discussed in Chapter 3.
- (2) Those toxics that have unit risk numbers developed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and other agencies are indicated by an asterisk.

#### (3) Classification

- V = Volatile air toxic compounds having vapor pressure above  $10^{-1}$  mm Hg at standard conditions (20°C and 760 mm Hg).
- SV = Volatile air toxic compounds having vapor pressure between 10<sup>-1</sup> and 10<sup>-7</sup> mm Hg at standard conditions (20°C and 760 mm Hg).
  - P = Those air toxics retained on filter material, either glass fiber or Teflon©, during sampling.
- M = Airborne particulate with metallic constituents.

#### (4) Available standards.

- + U.S. EPA, Quality Assurance Division, AREAL, RTP, NC, Group 5/6 gas standards.
- N Neat solution available from manufacturers.
- G Gas cylinder standards produced and validated by consultants under EPA contract.
- NT National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) solutions available.
- F7 U.S. EPA, Quality Assurance Division, AREAL, RTP, NC, Future Group 7 gas standards.

#### (5) Notation

Sep-PAK© Silica gel impregnated with 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazine for extracting aldehydes

and ketones from air.

Canister SUMMA© passivated stainless steel canister for collecting whole air samples.

Adsorbent Solid adsorbents, typically Tenax-GC

Filter Filter material, either glass fiber, Teflon or nylon, used to retain particles.

PUF Polyurethane foam for retaining semi-volatile pollutants. IC Ion chromatography analysis using conductivity detector.

GC/MS Gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy analysis, applicable to both canisters

and solid adsorbents.

ICAP Inductively coupled argon plasma spectroscopy analysis, applicable for metal

analyses.

HPLC High performance liquid chromatography using ultraviolet detector.

#### (6) Available sampling/analytical notation

ACM Ambient continuous monitor.

ADS-AA Solid adsorbent sampling followed by flameless atomic adsorption

analysis.

ADS-GC/MS Solid adsorbent sampling followed by gas chromatography/mass

spectroscopy analysis.

C-C/MS Canister sampling followed by chromatography/mass spectroscopy

analysis.

C-GC/MS Canister sampling by gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy analysis.

Activated charcoal tube sampling followed by gas chromatography with

Glass bulb sampling followed by gas chromatography separation with

Glass bulb sampling followed by gas chromatography separation with

followed by

Impinger sampling followed by colorimetric analysis.

## Abbreviations for Table G-1 (continued).

CT-GC/ECD

GB-GC/FPD

GB-GC/MS

Imp-COL

Imp-HPLC

	electron capture.
CT-GC/FID	Activated charcoal tube sampling followed by gas chromatography with
	flame ionization.
CT-GFAA	Activated charcoal tube adsorbent followed by radiochemistry
CV-AA	Filter sampling followed by cold vapor atomic adsorption spectroscopy.
DI-ICAP	Dichotomous sampling followed by inductively coupled argon plasma spectroscopy analysis.
Denuder-IC	Annual Denuder sampling followed by ion chromatographic analysis.
F-AA	Filter sampling followed by atomic adsorption spectroscopy.
F-GC/NPD	Filter sampling followed by gas chromatography separation with
	nitrogen-phosphorus detection.
F-GFAA	Filter sampling followed by graphite furnace atomic adsorption
	spectroscopy.
F-HPLC/UV	Filter sampling followed by high performance liquid chromatography
	with ultraviolet detection.
F-ICAP	Filter sampling followed by inductively coupled argon plasma
	spectroscopic analysis.
F-Micr	Filter sampling followed by microscopic analysis.
F/CT-GFAA	Filter/activated charcoal tube sampling with graphite furnace atomic
	absorption spectroscopy analysis.
F/CT-GC/FID	Filter/activated charcoal tube sampling followed by gas chromatography
	with flame ionization detection.
F/Imp-HPLC/UV	Filter/impinger sampling followed by high performance liquid
	chromatography with ultraviolet detection.
F/SG-GC/FID	Filter/silica gel adsorbent followed by gas chromatography with flame
	ionization detection.
F/SG-HPLC/UV	Filter/silica gel sorbent followed by high performance liquid
	chromatography with ultraviolet detection.
GB-GC/FID	Glass bulb sampling followed by gas chromatography separation with flame ionization detection.

flame photometric detection.

Impinger sampling

chromatography.

mass spectroscopy identification.

liquid

high performance

PUF-GC/ECD Polyurethane foam of XAD-2 sampling followed by a gas

chromatography separation with electron capture detection.

PUF-GC/FID Polyurethane foam sampling followed by gas chromatography separation

with flame ionization detection.

## Abbreviations for Table G-1 (continued).

PUF-GC/FPD Polyurethane foam sampling followed by gas chromatography separation

with flame photometric detection.

PUF-GC/MS Polyurethane foam sampling followed by gas chromatography/mass

spectroscopy analysis.

PUF/XAD-2-GC/MS Polyurethane foam combined with XAD-2 resin for sampling followed

by gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy analysis.

PUF-GC/NPD Polyurethane foam sampling followed by high performance liquid

chromatography.

PUF-HRGC/HRMS Polyurethane foam sampling followed by high resolution gas

chromatography with high resolution mass spectroscopy

S(Chromo 104)-GC/FID Sorbent (chromosorb 104) sampling followed by gas chromatography

separation with high resolution mass spectroscopy.

S(firbk)-HPLC/UV Sorbent (firebrick) sampling followed by high performance liquid

chromatography analysis.

S(Porapak-QS)-GC/NPD Sorbent (Porapak-QS) sampling followed by gas chromatography

eparation with nitrogen-phosphorus detection.

S(silica gel)-GC/FID Adsorbent (silica gel) sampling followed by gas chromatography

separation with flame ionization detection.

S(silica gel)-GC/FID Adsorbent (silica gel) sampling followed by gas chromatography

separation with flame ionization detection.

S(silica gel)-HPLC/UV Sorbent (silica gel) sampling followed by high performance liquid

chromatography with ultraviolet detection.

S(TN)-GC/HECD Sorbent (Thermosorb N) sampling followed by gas chromatography

separation with Hall electron capture detector.

S(TN)-GC/NPD Sorbent (Thermosorb N) sampling followed by gas chromatography

separation with nitrogen phosphorus detection.

S(XAD-2)-HPLC/UV Sorbent (XAD-2) sampling followed by high performance liquid

chromatography analysis.

S(XAD-7)-HPLC/UV Sorbent (XAD-7) sampling followed by high performance liquid

chromatography analysis.

SEP-HPLC Sep-PAK© impregnated cartridge sampling followed by high

performance liquid chromatography.

SEP-IC Sep-PAK© impregnated cartridge sampling followed by ion

chromatography analysis.

T-GC/MS Tenax solid adsorbent tube sampling followed by gas

chromatography/mass spectroscopy analysis.

T/C-GC/MS Tenax solid adsorbent tube or canister sampling followed by gas chromatography/

mass spectroscopy analysis.

#### (7) Detection limits

- (a) Not amenable to Tenax analysis.
- (b) Not amenable to canister analysis.
- (c) No detection limits available, but feasible.
- (d) Canister GC/MS in the SIM mode, Hewlett-Packard 5988A, column: 30 m x 0.32 i.d., DB-624 fused silica capillary, Perma Pure Dryer, 200 mL cryotrap sample, seven replicate samples analysis, LDD = (std. DEV.) x (one-tailed Student's value at 99% level).
- (e) Detection limit based upon 2500 m³ of air sampled, through a 8" x 10" glass filter with a 0.75" x 1" strip analyzed in final sample volume of 40 mL acid extraction solution.
- (f) PUF Amount of air sampled determines MDLs. MDL based upon 273 m<sup>3</sup> of theoretical air sampled, evaporate to 1 mL and analyze 1 µL by GC/MS/SIM.
- (8) Approximate Air Risk Specific Concentration = [Acceptable Risk Level (i.e., 10<sup>-6</sup>)]/[Unit Risk Factor].
- (9) Reference Ambient Levels (RALs) were developed from state agency acceptable ambient levels (AALs) as approximations of potential Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) or "To-Be-Considered" materials (TBCs) in establishment of air cleanup standards for remedial actions at national Priority List (NPL) sites.